

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,529	10/09/2001	Hans Thomann	PM 2000.010A	6842	
7590 06/03/2004 ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company			EXAMINER TAYLOR, VICTOR J		
P. O. Box 2189  Houston, TX 77252-2189			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2863		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/973,529	THOMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Victor J. Taylor	2863	•	

	Interview Summary	09/973,529	THOMANN E	THOMANN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Victor J. Taylor	2863		
All partic	ipants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):			
(1) <i>Exami</i>	iners d. McElheny and V. Taylor.	(3) <u>Abe Hershkovitz</u>	<u>45,294</u> .		
(2) <u>Charle</u>	es E. Smith 32,085, and Gene W. Lee 55,369	(4) <u>Mandi Milbank 50</u>	<u>), 853</u> .	•	
Date of	Interview: <u>20 May 2004</u> .	•	•		
Type:	a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☑ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicar	e nt 2)⊠ applicant's represe	ntative]		
Exhibit shaped If Yes	nown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes, brief description:	es e)⊠ No.	, .		
Claim(s)	discussed: <u>1-22</u> .		* '		
Identificat	ion of prior art discussed: <u>US6,206,108 and</u>	US 6,021,377 and US 5,678,	<u>643</u> .	100 mm	
Agreeme	nt with respect to the claims f) was reache	d. g)⊠ was not reached. I	ı)□ N/A.	<b>⊕</b> //	
Substance reached, o	e of Interview including description of the ger or any other comments: <u>See Continuation SI</u>	neral nature of what was agre heet	ed to if an agreemei	nt was	
anowabic,	escription, if necessary, and a copy of the an if available, must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be atta	NO CODY Of the amendments to	er agreed would ren that would render the	der the claims e claims	
THE FORM	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE  N. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to	CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE the last Office action has alr	THE SUBSTANCE eady been filed. AP	OF THE	

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

This interview was granted in view of the instant US application 09/973,529 and the continuing US application 10/666,208 as requested by the applicant on 20 May 2004. This interview was granted on very short notice by the Examiners in view of the pending instant application 09/973,529 as a courtesy to the applicant. Instant application US 10/666,208 has had no prior examination at this time. The items discussed include the notice of response to the improper RCE of 20 November 2003 and the improper RCE in the pending application 09/973,529 in view of the proper continuation in the co-pending US 10/666,208 and the correct priority date. The interference with US 6,473,696 concerning claims 1, 9, and 25 was discussed and no agreement was made pending the examination. The priority data based on US 09/973,529 was discussed in view of the corrections to the improper RCE in case 09/973,529 to be addressed. The incomplete list of attorneys of record found in application 10/666,208 are to be corrected. The statement of the relevant art in the very large IDS of application 10/666,208 is to be addressed. The proper line of demarcation in US applications 10/318,786 and US 10/666,208 and 10/666,610 and US 10/779,885 all continuation of US 09/973,529 are to be addressed.

Victor Taylor 20 May 2004 INTERVIEW 20 MAY 2004 US 09/973529 AND US 10/666208.

Gene Lee - No. 55,369 Mandi Milbank 50,853

Abe Hershkoritz 45,294

Charles E. Smith 32085

> Charles E. Smith Chief Attorney

## ExonMobil

**ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company** 

P.O. Box 2189 Houston, Texas 77252-2189 713 431 4554 Telephone 713 431 4664 Facsimile charles.e.smith.law@exxonmobil.com

An ExxonMobil Subsidiary

Rightfax: 646-728-2562

GENE W. LEE

1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10020 TEL 212.596.9000 FAX 212.596.9090 DIRECT DIAL 212.596.9053 E-MAIL glee@fishneave.com



FISH & NEAVE

# HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES

PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT CAUSES

ABRAHAM HERSHKOVITZ REGISTERED PATENT AGENT

1725 I STREET, N.W., SUITE 300 Washington, DC 20006 Fax: 703-323-6617

703-323-9330

E-MAIL: hershkovitz\_patents@verizon.net

## **Summary of Record of Interview Requirements**

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.